

## 8. Path integrals, ghosts and Grassmann numbers

---

To be discussed on Thursday, December 12, 2013 in the tutorial.

### Exercise 8.1: Gaussian integrals

In the following, integration over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is always understood.

a) Compute

$$Z(0) := \int d^n \vec{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{x}^T A \vec{x}}, \quad A^T = A.$$

b) Compute

$$Z(\vec{j}) := \int d^n x e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{x}^T A \vec{x} + \vec{j}^T \vec{x}}, \quad \vec{j} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

c) Define

$$\langle B(\vec{x}) \rangle := \frac{1}{Z(0)} \int d^n \vec{x} B(\vec{x}) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{x}^T A \vec{x}}.$$

Show that  $\langle 1 \rangle = 1$ .

d) Show that

$$\int d^n \vec{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left( B(\vec{x}) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \vec{x}^T A \vec{x}} \right) = 0.$$

e) Show that

$$\langle B(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rangle = \left. \frac{B \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial j_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial j_n} \right) Z(\vec{j})}{Z(0)} \right|_{\vec{j}=0}$$

f) Compute  $\langle x_i x_j \rangle$ :

- i) directly,
- ii) using d) and taking  $B(\vec{x}) = x_j$ ,
- iii) using e).

g) Compute  $\langle x_i x_j x_k x_l \rangle$ :

- i) using d) with  $B(\vec{x}) = x_j x_k x_l$ ,
- ii) using e).

### Exercise 8.2: Faddeev-Popov ghosts and Grassmann numbers

Determinants of operators such as the Faddeev-Popov determinant  $\Delta_{\text{FP}} = \det P$  can formally be written as a separate path integral over a new set of auxiliary variables. In order for this to be

possible, these auxiliary variables have to be anti-commuting rather than ordinary commuting numbers. Two anti-commuting numbers (or Grassmann numbers)  $\phi$  and  $\eta$  satisfy

$$\phi\eta = -\eta\phi$$

and hence  $\phi^2 = 0$ . Because of this, the most general function of on Grassmann variable  $\phi$  is

$$f(\phi) = A + B\phi$$

with  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Integrals over Grassmann variables (“Berezin integrals”) are defined by

$$\int d\phi[A + B\phi] := B. \quad (1)$$

a) Defining the derivative

$$\frac{d}{d\phi}\phi = 1, \quad \frac{d}{d\phi}A = 0 \quad (A \in \mathbb{C}),$$

show that the Berezin integral of a total derivative is zero and that the Berezin integral is translation invariant, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\phi \frac{d}{d\phi} f(\phi) &= 0 \\ \int d\phi f(\phi + a) &= \int d\phi f(\phi) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

These properties mimic similar properties of ordinary integrals of the type  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x)$ , which is the motivation for the unusual definition (1). Note that, for Grassmann variables, integration and differentiation are equivalent operations.

b) If one has several linearly independent Grassmann variables  $\phi_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), where

$$\phi_i\phi_j = -\phi_j\phi_i \quad \forall i, j,$$

one defines

$$\int d\phi_1 \dots d\phi_n f(\phi_i) = c,$$

where  $c$  is the coefficient in front of the  $\phi_n\phi_{n-1} \dots \phi_1$ -term in  $f(\phi^i)$  (note the order):

$$f = \dots + c\phi_n\phi_{n-1} \dots \phi_1.$$

Let  $n$  be even and split the  $\phi_i$  into two sets  $\psi_m, \chi_m$  ( $m = 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}$ ):

$$(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) = (\psi_1, \chi_1, \psi_2, \chi_2, \dots, \psi_{\frac{n}{2}}, \chi_{\frac{n}{2}}).$$

Show that

$$\left( \prod_{m=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \int d\psi_m d\chi_m \right) e^{\sum_{m=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \chi_m \lambda_m \psi_m} = \prod_{m=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \lambda_m,$$

where  $\lambda_m \in \mathbb{C}$  are ordinary c-numbers and the exponential is defined via its power series expansion.

c) If the  $\lambda_m$  are the eigenvalues of an operator  $\Lambda$ , one thus obtains

$$\left( \prod_{m=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \int d\psi_m d\chi_m \right) e^{\sum_{l=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \chi_m \Lambda_{ml} \psi_l} = \det \Lambda,$$

or, in a path integral context with Grassmann-valued fields  $\psi(x)$ ,  $\chi(x)$  and a differential operator  $\Delta$ ,

$$\int \mathcal{D}[\psi] \mathcal{D}[\chi] e^{\int d^d x \chi \Delta \psi} = \det \Delta.$$

Using similar arguments (see, e.g. Polchinski, Chapter 3.3 for a detailed account), one obtains

$$\det P = \int \mathcal{D}[c_\alpha] \mathcal{D}[b^{\beta\gamma}] \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{4\pi} \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} b^{\alpha\beta} (Pc)_{\alpha\beta} \right],$$

where  $b^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma) = \beta^{\beta\alpha}(\sigma)$  is a symmetric traceless anti-commuting field, and  $c_\alpha(\sigma)$  is an anti-commuting world sheet vector field. Show that, due to the symmetry and tracelessness of  $b^{\alpha\beta}$ , one can write

$$\det P = \int \mathcal{D}[c_\alpha] \mathcal{D}[b^{\beta\gamma}] \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} b^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha c_\beta \right].$$

d) It is more convenient to use  $b_{\alpha\beta}$  (“anti-ghost”) and  $c^\alpha$  (“ghost”) as the independent fields, as they turn out to be neutral under Weyl transformations, whereas  $b^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $c_\alpha$  are not due to additional powers of the (inverse) metric. Use

$$S_{\text{ghost}} = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{h} b_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^\alpha c^\beta$$

to derive the ghost action in flat world sheet light cone coordinates:

$$S_{\text{ghost}} = \frac{i}{\pi} \int d^2 \sigma (c^+ \partial_- b_{++} + c^- \partial_+ b_{--}). \quad (2)$$

e) Derive the equations of motion for  $c^\pm$  and  $b_{\pm\pm}$  from (2).

f) The total gauge fixed path integral is now

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}[X] \mathcal{D}[c] \mathcal{D}[b] e^{i[S_P + S_{\text{ghost}}] h_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}},$$

and one clearly sees that it would have been inconsistent to simply set  $h_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}$  and drop the  $\mathcal{D}[h]$  integration, as that would have missed the ghost contribution. To appreciate the ghost contribution, one notes that the total energy momentum tensor  $T_{\alpha\beta}$  now also gets a contribution from the ghost action

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta}[X] + T_{\alpha\beta}[b, c]$$

which modifies the central charge term in the Virasoro algebra to

$$A(m) = \frac{D}{12} m(m^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{6} (m - 13m^3) + 2am.$$

A non-vanishing total  $A(m)$  translates to an anomaly of the local Weyl transformations. Verify that this anomaly is absent if and only if  $D = 26$  and  $a = 1$ .